ATTACHMENT 13 –
PAST PERFORMANCE
QUESTIONAIRE

Competition Sensitive For Official Use Only

[DATE] Page 1 of 4

SPAWAR Systems Center – San Diego

CDAWAD

JOHN J. BERLIN, II, CODE 2211 53560 Hull St., Bldg. A33, Room 1602W San Diego, CA 92152-5002

FAX - ANA		
то:	AGENCY:	
PHONE:	EMAIL:	Management
INIE	CODMATION DECLIEST	

INFORMATION REQUEST PAST PERFORMANCE

This office is currently in the process of awarding a competitive service contract. [CONTRACTOR NAME] has provided your name and organization as a reference regarding [CONTRACTOR'S NAME] record of past performance under Contract No. [CONTRACT NO.]. Specifically, we are looking for past performance information regarding the following areas:

- a.) Quality of Product or Service Conformance to contract requirements, specifications and standards of good workmanship, accuracy of reports, appropriateness of personnel, and technical excellence;
- b.) <u>Cost Control</u> Within budget, current accurate and complete billings, actual cost/rates reflect closely to negotiated cost/rates, cost efficiency measures, adequate budgetary internal controls;
- c.) <u>Schedule</u> Timeliness of performance, met interim milestones, reliable, responsive to technical and contractual direction, completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration, no liquidated damages assessed;
- d.) <u>Business Relationships</u> Effective management, businesslike correspondence, responsive to contract requirements, prompt notification of problems, reasonable/cooperative behavior, flexible, proactive, effective Contractor recommended solutions, timely award and management of subcontracts, effective small/small disadvantaged business subcontracting program;
- e.) Customer Satisfaction Satisfaction of end users with the Contractor's service;

In order for our team to compile its evaluation, we request that you complete the attached survey form and email it, and any other pertinent information, BEFORE THE DUE DATE OF THIS SOLICITATION to su.jones@navy.mil. Any relevant information you have would be vital in our assessment of the aforementioned Contractor.

Thank you very much! JOHN J. BERLIN, II Contracting Officer

Competition Sensitive For Official Use Only

[DATE] Page 2 of 4

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY

CONTRACTOR NAME:	CONTRACT NUMBER:				
EVALUATION PERIOD:	DELIVERY ORDER NO.:				
GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE:					
Name (print)	Cod	de	Phone		
Please read the statements below, indicating your rela	tive level of ag	reement i	n the box provi	ded:	
	EXCEPTIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATISFACTORY	MARGINAL	NOT SAITISFACTORY
a.) QUALITY OF PRODUCT OR SERVICE:(1) The Contractor provided a product or service that conformed to				T.	
contract requirements, specifications, and standards of good workmanship				:	
(2) The Contractor submitted accurate reports.(3) The Contractor utilized personnel that were appropriate to the effort performed.					
b.) COST CONTROL:				·	
(1) The Contractor performed the effort within the estimated cost/price.					
(2) The Contractor submitted accurate invoices on a timely basis.(3) The Contractor demonstrated cost efficiencies in performing the required effort.					
(4) The actual costs/rates realized closely reflected the negotiated costs/rates.					
c.) SCHEDULE:				;	
(1) The tasks required under this effort were performed in a timely manner and in accordance with the period of performance of the contract.					
(2) The Contractor was responsive to technical and/or contractual direction					

NOTE: For statements indicating "Exceptional" or "Not Satisfactory," please provide a brief explanation on the attached page.

ATTACHMENT 13 – PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONAIRE

Competition Sensitive For Official Use Only

[DATE] Page 3 of 4

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY CONTINUED

CONTRACTOR NAME:	CONTRACT NUMBER:				
	EXCEPTIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATISFACTORY	MARGINAL	NOT SAITISFACTORY
d.) BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS:					
(1) The Contractor demonstrated effective management over the					
effort performed.			4		
(2) The Contractor maintained an open line of communication so that					
the COR and/or Technical Point of Contact were apprised of					
technical, cost, and schedule issues. (3) The Contractor presented information and correspondence in a					
clear, concise, and businesslike manner.					
(4) The Contractor promptly notified the Contracting Officer's					
Representative, Technical Point of Contact, and/or Contracting					
Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent issues.					
(5) The Contractor cooperated with the Government in providing					
flexible, proactive, and effective recommended solutions to critical					
program issues. (6) The Contractor made timely award to, and demonstrated effective					
management of, its subcontractors.					
(7) The Contractor demonstrated an effective small/small					
disadvantaged business subcontracting program.					
e.) CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:		T	T	1	
(1) The products/services provided adequately met the needs of the					
program. (2) The Contractor was able to perform with minimal or no direction					
from the COR or the Technical Point of Contact.					
(3) I am satisfied with the performance of the Contractor under this					
effort.					

NOTE: For statements indicating "Exceptional" or "Not Satisfactory," please provide a brief explanation on the attached page.

Competition Sensitive For Official Use Only

[DATE] Page 4 of 4

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY CONTINUED

CONTRACTOR NAME:	CONTRACT NUMBER:		
NARRATIVE EXPLANATION:			
		·	
		 	